

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/523,917	(02/07/2005	Ronald Garwood Grey	4623-050025	4623-050025 1943	
28289	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
THE WEBE		•	WEST, PAUL M			
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219				2856		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			P				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/523,917	GREY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul M. West	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
 Notice of Braitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11032005</u>. 			O-152)				

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. As to claims 1, 2, 14, and 15, it is not clear what is meant by a signal with a monotonic group delay function. What is meant by monotonic? Is monotonic describing the group delay of the signal, or some other aspect of the signal such as the amplitude? Also, what is "the acquisition period" referring to?
- 4. As to claims 3 and 16, the phrase "most preferably" renders the claims indefinite.

 Are the limitations which follow this phrase meant to be included in the claim?
- 5. As to claims 4 and 17, what does the term "terfenite" mean? This does not appear to be a commonly used term.
- 6. As to claims 10 and 23, the terms " $F(\omega)$ " and " $H(\omega)$ " lack antecedent basis in the claim.
- 7. As to claims 11, 12, 24, and 25, what aspect of the signal is ramped up, i.e. is it ramping up the amplitude, frequency, etc.?

Application/Control Number: 10/523,917 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

8. As to claims 13 and 26, it is unclear what is meant by "multiplying the signal." What is the signal being multiplied by, or is it just generally increasing? What aspect of the signal is growing or atennuating, i.e. is it amplitude, frequency, etc.?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 5-10, 14, and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Field.
- 11. As to claim 1, Field teaches a rheometer comprising: a driver 120 for applying an alternating movement to a surface of a sample 150 for causing an alternating movement of the sample; a force measuring device 160 for providing a signal indicative of the reaction force exerted by the sample on the driver; a displacement measuring device 170,180 for providing a signal indicative of the alternating movement of the sample 150; a processor 200 for receiving the force signal and the movement signal to determine a rheological property of the sample; and a signal generator 111 for supplying to the driver a frequency sweep signal having a group delay which is monotonic (Col. 6, lines 2-5).

Art Unit: 2856

12. As to claim 5, Field teaches the apparatus including a sample support comprising a top plate 130 and a bottom plate 140 which define a space for receiving the sample 150.

Page 4

- 13. As to claim 6, the displacement measuring device 170,180 is a transducer.
- 14. As to claim 7, the force measuring device 160 is a load cell.
- 15. As to claim 8, the processor includes an analog to digital converter 176,191 for converting the signal from the load cell and the displacement measuring means to a digital signal.
- 16. As to claims 9 and 10, the processor is for determining the fourier transform of both the force signal and the movement signal and the ratio of the force fourier transform $F(\omega)$ to the movement fourier transform $H(\omega)$, and the complex modulus is computed with the formula: $G^*(\omega) = \frac{h^3}{3\pi a^4} \times \frac{F(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$, where a is the radius of one of the plates and h is the average separation of the plates (Col. 2, lines 13-28).
- 17. As to claim 14, Field teaches a method of determining a rheological property of a sample, comprising: applying by a driver 120 and alternating movement to a surface of the sample 150 for causing alternating movement of the sample; measuring a force signal with force measuring means 160 indicative of a reaction force exerted by the sample; measuring a signal indicative of the alternating movement of the sample 150 by means of transducer 170,180; processing the force signal and the movement signal with processor 200 to determine the rheological property of the sample 150; and supplying

Art Unit: 2856

the driver with a frequency sweep signal having a group delay which is monotonic (Col. 6, lines 2-5).

Page 5

- 18. As to claim 18, Field teaches the method including supporting the sample between a top plate 130 and a bottom plate 140 which define a space for receiving the sample 150.
- 19. As to claim 19, the displacement is measured by a transducer 170,180.
- 20. As to claim 20, the force is measured by a load cell 160,175.
- 21. As to claim 21, the processing in includes using analog to digital converters 191,176 to convert the force signal and displacement signals to digital signals.
- 22. As to claims 22 and 23, the processing further includes determining the fourier transform of both the force signal and the movement signal and the ratio of the force fourier transform $F(\omega)$ to the movement fourier transform $H(\omega)$, and calculating the complex modulus with the formula: $G^*(\omega) = \frac{h^3}{3\pi a^4} \times \frac{F(\omega)}{H(\omega)}$, where a is the radius of one of the plates and b is the average separation of the plates (Col. 2, lines 13-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 23. Claims 3, 11, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Field.
- 24. As to claims 3 and 16, Field is silent as to the size of the crest factors of the frequency sweep signal, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a signal with small crest factors because it is well-known in the art that

Art Unit: 2856

small crest factors lead to less impacting and therefore reduce bearing wear in vibrating machinery, and signals with small crest factors are more accurately used with fourier transforms.

25. As to claims 11 and 24, Field teaches the frequency sweep signal consisting of reversing ramps (Col. 6, lines 2-5) but does not specifically say the signal is ramped up at the beginning and ramped down at the end. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to ramp the signal up at the beginning and down at the end because the signal must start from zero initially and therefore must be ramped up in the beginning to reach any higher values.

Allowable Subject Matter

26. Claims 12 and 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note that Isogai et al. teach a similar apparatus which uses a load cell, displacement sensor, and driver.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul M. West whose telephone number is (571) 272-8590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2856

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800